

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2013) KLR VOL 2 PART 325 pp. 885 - 1204

FEBRUARY 2013

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

FEBRUARY CONTD.

- 26. Adeyeye v. State p. 885
- 27. Ajayi v. State p. 913
- 28. Contract Resources Ltd v. Standard Trust Bank Ltd p. 915
- 29. Duke v. Government of Cross-River State p. 941
- 30. Ed-Of Nig. Ltd. v. Snig Nig. Ltd. p. 965
- 31. Elijah v. State p. 983
- 32. Hon. Commissioner for Education v. Amadi p. 1003
- 33. Aminu Ishola Investment Ltd v. Afribank Plc p. 1025
- 34. Kaja v. Oke p. 1051
- 35. Njemanze v. Njemanze p. 1071
- 36. Njokwu v. State p. 1093
- 37. Okponipere v. State p. 1119
- 38. Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc. 1133
- 39. State v. Lawal p. 1181

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 2 KLR**

AFFIDAVITS - Contents - By Evidence Act ss. 86 & 87 - Affidavit shall contain only facts - Derived from personal knowledge of deponent - And not objection or legal argument (H4) Okponipere v. State p. 1119

APPEALS - Court of Appeal - Interlocutory injunction - Before the application can be made to the court - It must be shown on the supporting affidavit - That there exists special and exceptional circumstances (H1) Commissioner for Education v. Amadi p. 1003

APPEALS - Court of Appeal - Powers - By C.A. Act s. 18 - The court can make equitable order(s) - In the interest of justice administration - So as to preserve the subject matter of dispute between parties (H4) Contract Resources Ltd v. Standard Trust Bank Ltd p. 915

APPEALS - Damages - Interference - Since trial court relied on wrong premise of tort of detainee instead of contract - Court of Appeal rightly disturbed the excessive damages awarded (H3) Aminu Ishola Invest. Ltd v. Afribank Plc p. 1025

APPEALS - Damages - Quantum - Appellate court can interfere with amount of damages awarded by trial court - Where it is shown that the court proceeded on wrong principle (H2) Kaja v. Oke p. 1041

APPEALS - Determination - Basis - Appeal is determined by appellate court - Based on the issues - But not on the grounds (H1) Okponipere v. State p. 1119

APPEALS - Ground of appeal - Meaning - This is the reason why the decision of inferior court - Is considered wrong by the aggrieved party (H3) Okponipere v. State p. 1119

APPEALS - Grounds - Of law & mixed law and facts - Distinction - The grounds should be examined - To see whether they reveal a misunderstanding of the law - To facts already proved or admitted (H2) Njemanze v. Njemanze p. 1011

APPEALS - Grounds - Of mixed law & facts - Raised without leave -

By 1999 Constitution s. 233(3) - Supreme Court has no jurisdiction to entertain the appeal - As the grounds are incompetent (H3) Njemanze v. Njemanze p. 1071

APPEALS - Grounds - Particulars - Striking out - Particulars which are argumentative & narrative - Offend Supreme Court Rules O. 8 r. 2(4) - And should be struck out (H4) Njemanze v. Njemanze p. 1071

APPEALS - Hearing - Preliminary objection - Where objection is successful - Litigation is brought to an end - But if dismissed - Appeal will be determined on merit (H1) Njemanze v. Njemanze p. 1071

APPEALS - Issue - Formulation - An issue is competent only if it arises from ground of appeal - And appeal must be based on ratio decidendi - From which issues must be formulated (H3) Contract Resources Ltd v. Standard Trust Bank Ltd p. 915

APPEALS - Meaning of - This is a resort to superior court - To review the decision of an inferior court - To find out whether from available facts - The inferior court has come to a right decision (H2) Okponipere v. State p. 1119

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - Determination - Objection must first be determined - As it is capable of disposing the entire appeal - Without necessarily delving into the merit thereof (H1) Contract Resources Ltd v. Standard Trust Bank Ltd p. 915

APPEALS - Respondent's issue - Formulation - In absence of cross appeal - Respondent must confine himself to appellant's grounds - In formulating his issues for determination (H1) Kaja v. Oke p. 1051

APPEALS - Words & phrases - Judgment - Ruling - Use of judgment or ruling connotes a decision of court - And should not therefore be a reason for controversy (H2) Contract Resources Ltd v. Standard Trust Bank Ltd p. 915

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Removal of chief - Governor's power - By Traditional Rulers Law s. 30(1) - Governor has discretion to with-

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 2 KLR**

draw recognition of a clan head - In the interest of peace (H2) Duke v. Govt. Cross-River State p. 941

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Tenure of village head - The tenure is not in perpetuity - As it is dependent on the goodwill and relationship - Between the holder of the office and his subjects (H5) Duke v. Govt. Cross-River State p. 941

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Traditional Rulers Law s. 30(1) - Interpretation - Since the wordings of the section are unambiguous - The same should be given their literal meaning (H1) Duke v. Govt. Cross-River State p. 941

CONTRACTS - Agreement - Binding nature of - Parties are bound by terms of agreement freely entered into - And court must give effect to such agreement - And is not to make a new one (H4) Aminu Ishola Invest. Ltd v. Afribank Plc p. 1025

COURT PROCESSES - Abuse - Instance of - Is where a party improperly uses judicial process - To the irritation of his opponent - Not only in same subject matter - But also where issues are the same (H3) Commissioner for Education v. Amadi p. 1003

COURTS - Discretion - Interlocutory application - Exercise of discretion - Is not appellable but must be judicious and judicial - And not whimsical or irrational (H2) Commissioner for Education v. Amadi p. 1003

COURTS - Processes - Abuse - Dismissal - Once court is satisfied that any proceeding before it is abuse of process - It has power to strike it out (H4) Commissioner for Education v. Amadi p. 1003

COURTS - Supervisory role - Certiorari - The writ is available under the 1999 Constitution and High Court Rules - To empower High Courts to checkmate - The arbitrariness of inferior courts or Tribunals (H1) State v. Lawal p. 1181

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Appeal - Retrial order - Is made where

inter alia - There has been error in law or irregularity in procedure - That neither renders the trial a nullity - Nor was there a miscarriage of justice (H1) *Elijah v. State* p. 983

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Judgment - Fair hearing - The proceedings of the inferior court in the absence of some of the accused - Is a nullity as well as the judgment thereof - For denial of fair hearing (H3) *State v. Lawal* p. 1181

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Justice - Retrial order - The order shall be sustained in the interest of justice - So as to know the truth of the case - And properly put appellant to trial (H2) *Elijah v. State* p. 983

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Murder - Self defence - Sustainability - Accused must show an act of grave and sudden provocation - Loss of self control - And his retaliation must be proportionate (H2) *Adeyeye v. State* p. 885

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Trial - Absence of accused - Exception - Accused must be tried in his presence - Except where he is kept out of court in the interest of public safety - Due to violent tendencies (H4) *State v. Lawal* p. 1181

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Trial - Absence of accused - Trial of 1st accused in his absence - Constitutes a breach of the law - As addresses by parties or their counsel - Are integral part of the proceedings (H2) *State v. Lawal* p. 1181

DAMAGES - Contract - Torts - Since chattel does not include abstract money - The award of N2 million based on detinue is wrong - As measure of damages in tort is not the same as in contract (H2) *Aminu Ishola Invest. Ltd v. Afribank Plc* p. 1025

DAMAGES - Negligence - Proof - Plaintiff must lead evidence in proof of his entitlement - As award of damages depends on facts that sustain the plea for the award (H3) *Kaja v. Oke* p. 1051

FAIR HEARING - Breach - Allegation of - Since appellant was served

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 2 KLR**

with petitions against him - And was also heard before a decision was taken - His complaint of breach is of no moment (H4) Duke v. Govt. Cross-River State p. 941

FAIR HEARING - Principle - It entails giving both parties opportunity to present their cases - As each side has right to know - What case is being made against it (H3) Duke v. Govt. Cross-River State p. 941

JURISDICTION - Determination - Jurisdiction of court in a suit - Is based on plaintiff's averments in the statement of claim - And the reliefs claimed therein (H6) Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc. 1133

JURISDICTION - National Industrial Court - Trade dispute - For jurisdiction of the court to arise - It must be established that the subject matter comes within the Trade Dispute Act s. 47(1) (H7) Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc. 1133

MASTER & SERVANT - Collective agreement - Binding force - Since Exhibits D, F, J1-J5, P1 & G did not create legal relations between the parties - Respondent is not contractually bound (H2) Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc. 1133

MASTER & SERVANT - Collective agreement - Contract - There is no privity of contract - As the agreement was not incorporated into the contract of employment (H3) Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc. 1133

MASTER & SERVANT - Collective agreement - Justiciability - For Exhibits D, F, J1-J5, N & P1 to be justiciable - The same must be incorporated into existing service agreement - By amending old provision to reflect new one (H1) Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc. 1133

MASTER & SERVANT - Jurisdiction - High Court - Since the action is for wrongful termination of employment and not trade dispute - The court's jurisdiction is not ousted by Trade Dispute Act s. 47(1) (H8) Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc. 1133

MASTER & SERVANT - Parties - Pleadings - As there is no specific claim for non payment of terminal benefits - The issue is an after-

thought - Since parties are bound by their pleadings (H4) *Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc.* 1133

MASTER & SERVANT - Termination - Damages in action for termination of employment - Can only follow events - Where the termination is wrongful (H5) *Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc.* 1133

MASTER & SERVANT - Termination - Validity - Appellants cannot be described as workers - Since their employment were duly ended - By service of notice of same on them (H9) *Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc.* 1133

MURDER - Composition - The offence is committed if inter alia - The offender intends to cause death of deceased - Or to do to deceased some grievous harm (H2) *Njokwu v. State p.* 1093

MURDER - Date of death - Contradictions - Discrepancies as to the actual date is not fatal to prosecution's case - Since the death occurred due to injuries sustained from attack by appellant (H5) *Njokwu v. State p.* 1093

MURDER - Defence - Provocation - Is not a complete defence to charge of murder - As its being upheld would not result in discharge & acquittal - But in reduction of the offence to manslaughter (H1) *Njokwu v. State p.* 1093

MURDER - Evidence - Identity of deceased - Since there is no doubt as to identity of body of deceased - Failure of prosecution to call witness in respect of same - Is irrelevant (H4) *Njokwu v. State p.* 1093

MURDER - Ingredients - Proof - Prosecution must prove that deceased died - Which death was caused by accused - Who intended to either kill or cause grievous bodily harm (H3) *Njokwu v. State p.* 1093

MURDER - Ingredients - Proof - Prosecution must prove that the deceased died - And that the death was caused by act of accused - Which was intentional (H1) *Adeyeye v. State p.* 885

MURDER - Provocation - Elements - Provocation consists of the provocative incident - Actual and reasonable loss of self control - And proportionate retaliation to the provocation (H6) Njokwu v. State p. 1093

MURDER - Provocation - Witchcraft - Plea of provocation founded on witchcraft and mere words - Cannot stand in law (H7) Njokwu v. State p. 1093

MURDER - Self defence - Failure of - Since there is no credible evidence of appellant's life being in danger - He is deemed to have intentionally killed the deceased (H3) Adeyeye v. State p. 885

TORTS - Detinue - Nature of - Kosile v. Folarin - Detinue involves unlawful diversion of plaintiff's chattel - Which he has immediate right to possess - After having demanded for its return (H1) Aminu Ishola Invest. Ltd v. Afribank Plc p. 1025

UNDEFENDED SUITS - General cause list - Transfer to - Basis - As issue raised by respondent is defence on merit - The same should be tested at the full trial of the action (H2) Ed-Of Nig. Ltd. v. Snig Nig. Ltd. p. 965

UNDEFENDED SUITS - Procedure - Under High Court Rules of Delta State O. 23 - Plaintiff can by this process recover liquidated money demands from defendant - Without a full blown civil trial (H1) Ed-Of Nig. Ltd. v. Snig Nig. Ltd. p. 965

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Armed Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap. 398 LFN 1990, s. 1(2) *Elijah v. State* p. 983

Bendel (Delta) State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 1988, O. 23 rr. 3(1), 4 *Ed-Of Nig. Ltd. v. Snig Nig. Ltd.* p. 965

Chieftaincy Law of Imo State No.22 of 1978, ss. 9, 14 *Duke v. Govt. Cross-River State* p. 941

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 36 *Elijah v. State* p. 983, ss. 36, 272(1)(2), 294 *State v. Lawal* p. 1181, s. 233 (3) *Aminu Ishola Invest. Ltd v. Afribank Plc* p. 1025, s. 233(2)(3) *Njemanze v. Njemanze* p. 1071

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979, s. 236(1) *Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc.* 1133

Court of Appeal Act Cap. 75 LFN 1990, s. 18 *Contract Resources Ltd v. Standard Trust Bank Ltd* p. 915

Court of Appeal Rules 1981 (as amended), O. 3 r. 3(4) *Commissioner for Education v. Amadi* p. 1003

Court of Appeal Rules 2002, O. 1 r. 20 sub-rr. 4, 5 *Contract Resources Ltd v. Standard Trust Bank Ltd* p. 915, O. 3 r. 3(1) *Aminu Ishola Invest. Ltd v. Afribank Plc* p. 1025

Criminal Code Cap 30 Vol. II Laws of Oyo State 1978, ss. 286, 319 *Adeyeye v. State* p. 885

Criminal Code, s. 319(1) *Njokwu v. State* p. 1093

Criminal Procedure Law, s. 215 *Elijah v. State* p. 983

Evidence Act, ss. 86, 87 *Okponipere v. State* p. 1119

Supreme Court Rules, O. 6 rr. 1, 2 Duke v. Govt. Cross-River State
p. 941

Supreme Court Rules, O. 8 r. 2(3) Njemanze v. Njemanze p. 1071

Trade Disputes Act 1990, ss. 20(1), 47(1) Osoh v. Unity Bank Plc.
1133

Traditional Rulers Law Cap T4 Law of Cross River State 2004, s.
30(1) Duke v. Govt. Cross-River State p. 941

Tribunal (certain consequential Amendment) Decree 1999, ss. 1(b),
2(1)(2) Elijah v. State p. 983

Western Nigeria Chief Law Cap 19 of 1959, s. 22(1) Duke v. Govt.
Cross-River State p. 941